## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

PRISON INDUSTRY BOARD

PUBLIC HEARING

JUNE 7, 2017

CALIFORNIA PRISON INDUSTRY AUTHORITY

CONFERENCE CENTER

2125 19TH STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTED BY:

ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ CSR NO. 1564

1	ATTENDEES
2	BOARD MEMBER CO-CHAIRS:
3	JEFF McGUIRE
4	MICHELE STEEB
5	STAFF: CHARLES L. PATTILLO, EXECUTIVE OFFICER SCOTT PERKINS
6	RAYMOND MEEK GARY BUSH
7	RANDY FISHER MILO FITCH
8	KEVIN COLE ZILLE KHAN
9	ALTHEA COSTALES THY VUONG
10	MELISSA SCHANE
11	COUNSEL:
12	JEFF SLY
13	PUBLIC MEMBERS:
14	LOU RAMONDETTA
15	
16	00
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	
25	
<u> </u>	

## SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 1 2 WEDNESDAY, JUNE 7, 2017, 1:01 P.M. 3 ---000---Good afternoon. At this time 4 MS. STEEB: 5 I would like to call this public hearing to order at 6 1:01 on the dot. 7 My name is Michele Steeb, serving on behalf of 8 the Prison Industry Board and Co-Chairperson for 9 this public hearing. With me serving as Co-Chair is 10 Mr. Jeff McGuire, also a member of the Prison 11 Industry Board. 12 Welcome, Jeff. 13 MR. McGUIRE: Thank you. 14 MS. STEEB: Both of us are very pleased to 15 be here today and to have you all join us. 16 To members of the public who are here to 17 testify today, if you would like to speak, we ask 18 that you fill out a speaker request form. 19 are located with our Board Secretary, Thy, right 20 here. 2.1 Board Secretary, would you please read the 22 Legality of Hearing statement. 23 MS. VUONG: This is a legal hearing. The 24 Prison Industry Board has met all the requirements

of California Penal Code Section 2808(i). At least

25

ten days prior to this meeting, notification was posted on the California Prison Industry Authority website. Additionally, notice was mailed to potential vendors located in the geographic areas of the proposed enterprise, persons who have requested notification of Prison Industry Board meetings, persons who have requested notification of public hearings, California Legislators, California Central Labor Councils, and the Executive Secretary and Treasurer of the California Labor Federation.

MS. STEEB: Thank you.

2.1

For those of you not familiar with CALPIA, I can give you some background information before we hear today's item.

CALPIA, or the California Prison Authority, is a self-funded state agency that employs offenders in the production of goods and services. These goods and services are sold only to other California state agencies. The Prison Industry Board, of which I and Mr. McGuire are here to represent, oversees all CALPIA operations and is authorized to conduct public hearings under Penal Code Section 2808(i).

The purpose of this public hearing today is to receive testimony from organizations or individuals who may be affected by the item that will be

presented today.

2.1

Before we begin hearing about today's item, it may be helpful for you all to know how the hearing will proceed and to establish ground rules.

First, CALPIA, represented by the General Manager Charles Pattillo, will present the agenda item. Then we will open it to the public to hear statements of support or concern.

For those members of the public who will be presenting statements of support or concern, we ask that when you come to the podium please identify yourself and your affiliation, please be specific with regard to your concerns, please provide with us written information if you've not done so already.

As you present, we, the committee members, may ask you additional questions to clarify your comments. If you are a vendor, the following information would be helpful for the Prison Industry Board: The products and/or services provided by your company to governmental agencies during the last three years; the dollar amount of those sales; the percent of your company's total business that those sales represent; the purchasing agency or agencies; and the number of individuals employed, including the number of former offenders, if any.

Thank you. And now to begin, Chuck Pattillo, General Manager, will present our agenda item.

2.1

MR. PATTILLO: Thank you, Board Members,
Ms. Steeb and Mr. McGuire. My name is Chuck
Pattillo. I am the General Manager of the Prison
Industry Authority and also the Executive Officer of
the Prison Industry Board.

The matter we're discussing today is CALPIA's proposal to expand our existing e-waste in the state of California. As Mr. McGuire is very involved in this aspect with Department of General Services, we've been working with DGS and the California Technology for a couple years to get ourselves established as an e-waste handler, recycler and refurbisher.

We have spent the last 24 months developing this as an educational program, including setting our e-waste collection site at East Sacramento, and Our On-Time Delivery center will employ between five and ten inmates and one staff member on a constant basis to take inflow from state agencies.

The second part of that e-waste program is our Joint Venture partner down at Merit in Stockton. I think both of you have seen that before, where we have a nonprofit private company, Merit, Inc., that

e-waste specifically, they take in under an agreement where for every four computers we send them to recycle, they give us one back as a refurbished item. Then we have the option of selling it or donating it to a nonprofit. In cases where we have nonprofits, they may need multiple. State agencies ask for some. Our big one is schools that are possibly underfunded in the computer area. We've done a lot of work with schools here.

The last part of the potential distribution of

2.1

2.4

The last part of the potential distribution of these computers is for folks who don't have any computers right now. They take an eight-hour course through a couple schools out there that are nonprofit, as well as charter schools, and they become eligible to receive one of these computers. It's not considered a gift of state funds because it is surplus and junk when it goes out the doors. We are actually dealing with e-waste junk. The whole focus on this was not only were we looking for a rehabilitation program, but we are looking to be online with the Governor's CalRecyle, and our initiative of defining the future.

One of the strategies that CalRecycle and the Governor's Office - the Department of General

Services - has been focusing on is moving organics out of the landfill, expanding the recycling and manufacturing infrastructure, exploring new models for state and local funding for materials-management programs, promoting state procurement of post-consumer recycle-content products, which this would be a part of and then promoting producer responsibility.

2.1

Currently, we are operating in East Sacramento and also in Stockton with Merit. We're looking to replicate that in Southern California. Our facility would look very similar to what we have in Northern California. There would be two collections sites - one at California Institution for Men, Chino, where we would employ between five and ten men, inmate and one staff member, and then over at DJJ Ventura, to back up Chino. We're actually using this as a backup and not a site. Our second site would be at DJJ Ventura, and the purpose of that is to replicate our second Joint Venture that would mirror what we do in Stockton.

We have several vendors who have contacted us. We actually have one vendor here today who's been working with our Joint Venture group to see if we can replicate what seems to be working very darn

well in Northern California. The Department of General Services has been very helpful in identifying what the products are that we can recycle and what we can't recycle and what they really don't want to handle.

2.1

Currently, we are handling about 20 percent of the total e-waste volume in the state of California. And after July 1st, we believe that will be close to about 90 percent. The reason for that difference is because we have a lot of agencies out there that don't know we do this. So what have is five or six MOUs with large organizations where we take their e-waste. That's really where people have been learning about it.

The three benefits for our proposal are: To strengthen the State's efforts in tracking and recycling obsolete IT equipment in a responsible manner statewide, but at no added/extra cost; meet the rehabilitative needs of both adult and youth offenders by providing them with marketable job training skills in e-waste recycling and computer refurbishing; and meet the other needs of under-served school districts with these surplus computers or nonprofits as the case may be.

The big thing that was attractive to us and

1 very attractive to DGS is our program doesn't increase the carbon footprint from vehicles. We're 3 only picking up using our existing trucking company 4 line which I know you're aware of, but for the 5 public, we run 80 trucks and 183 truck trailers from the Oregon border to the Mexican border. Our 6 program for e-waste pickup won't have any extra 8 If you have waste and we happen to be driving by, we will pick it up. We very rarely will go 9 10 further than two miles on freeway runs to pick 11 something up. There are cases. In Sacramento, we 12 actually do run a bobtail because there's a lot of 13 business here in Sacramento, and we don't like 14 running big trucks downtown. That's the nature of 15 that. 16 Our current e-waste program began back in 2013 17 with CDCR. As I mentioned, we have several MOUs in 18 place right now. We are OSHA, the Occupational 19 Health and Safety Management System, 18001:2007, certified. We are R2:2013, Responsible Recycling 20 2.1 Code of Practices, certified, and ISO 14001:2015, 22 the Environmental Management System. 23 So the training we are teaching right now: 24 Currently, we're running with female offenders in East Sacramento. At DJJ it will be juvenile 25

offenders over 18 years old. And at Chino, it will be men. The basic skills we've been teaching are forklift operations, computer testing and refurbishment, data management, and customer relations.

2.1

Certification for the skills includes

Certiport, fundamentals of computer technology;

LearnThat, computer hardware. Other certifications
include our Customer Service Specialist, Overton
forklift training and certification, Worker

Occupational Safety and Health, International
Organization for Standardization, ISO. We are an

ISO-certified agency. Offender-participants can
also receive certificates of proficiency from CALPIA
when appropriate. We anticipate when up and
running, this will qualify for one month off your
sentence for each nine months completed.

The benefits of expanding the program statewide is to maximize reutilization of state technology assets. We're finding that a lot of the assets we're receiving are -- I'll give you an example -- server cases. Some other state agency needed them. We are turning into a conduit for just basically trading assets between state agencies, which is fine; it wouldn't be done otherwise.

Reducing the amount of e-waste that is stored. We find there are a lot of state agencies out there that have built up large amounts of e-waste because it's either too problematic for them to dispose of it or they don't want to pay somebody to dispose of it. As I mentioned, we're not charging anything to pick up any of this. They would actually be a reduced cost for a lot of state agencies now paying for the service.

2.1

We talked about reducing the carbon footprint by using our existing truck line, and this supports the State's goal of 75-percent recycling by 2020. And then we're looking to build on public safety improvements and rehabilitative programs that we have. We know it's going to be overall, at its height, less than 50 people employed in this, but that means 50 people every year that are getting trained in a service. Believe me, this is a market based on stats. It will be growing in the next five to ten years even greater than it is now.

California Technology is part of our program we've been running so far in expansion. One of their systems has been in securing Internet access for folks who are low income, who may get provided these computers through Comcast for \$7 a month,

which is a pretty good deal if you don't have Internet.

2.1

We currently only work with vendors who have achieved R2 certification. We will not work with anybody who has not received all the similar certifications we have received. However, we will work with collectors. To be a collector you don't need any certifications. Actually, Ms. Steeb and I talked about this the other day. You don't need to have an R2 certification. In fact, you can be a collector. One of the big ones is the Boy Scouts collecting e-waste. So we will work with folks like that that, who to be collectors, for whatever reason.

Between January 1, 2015 and February 15, 2017, DGS reported that there was 143,000 separate line items or items collected and approved to be recycled. For the same period we did 50,000 items. So we did about one to three for them, so that you can see where the growth is coming.

Fiscally, we anticipate no impact. We are running this as part of our On-Time Delivery system, our trucking company. So we are using a lot of the existing assets that are already there. A lot of the work that's done in East Sacramento is done with

staff who are assigned to something else. When they have a couple hours, they go over there, so we didn't have to staff this up very heavily.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

We talked about the minimal transportation costs, e-waste disposal deliveries. When equipment comes in, we sort it three different ways. There is stuff we can't break down any further, that we can take to a recycler and get cash that goes back into the system.

The second one: There are items that nobody wants; that they need further teardown before we take it. We are not doing teardown. We have an agreement with the federal penitentiary in Atwater, and they take that intake from us. They've been doing that for a couple years at no cost; they take it as a benefit for them. And, of course, a third part is the computers that are refurbishable are being sent over to Merit to be refurbished. Before they are there, consistent with state law, the hard drives are removed and destroyed. The systems are supposed to be wiped before they come in. Sometimes that doesn't happen, and we take care of that. have staff on hand so no inmates are getting near any confidential information.

The annual cost for the entire program, taking

what we're spending now and expansion that we anticipate, will be \$316,000 a year to run the program, offset in the first year by \$190,000 from commodity sales, various parts that we've resold internally. We believe within about three years this will be a break-even program. That is our actual goal, just to make this break even, more of a service. Not only a service to the state of California, but a service to DGS. Because working with them, they could give a lot more stuff to us on a lot easier basis. We are working with them to develop the roll-out of this.

2.1

We talk about some of the uses for the refurbished computers. Right now we're seeing a lot sof computers going to county jails. We have a long list of folks at--county jails don't have a lot of money to set up technology programs, so we've been doing that for them. There's a couple nonprofits here in Sacramento, including La Familia, which we set up a technology lab for them. Using refurbished computers, we've also set them up at Sutter Mills.

Private sector impact. Materials and supplies needed for the program will be sourced from local vendors, just like we do now. We try to do as much small business as we can.

But potential impact: There is potential impact in the private-sector businesses that are currently paying to pick up the e-waste from state agencies. This will be no-pay for us or pay for them. So it will be a cost-saving to the state agency. We haven't been able to quantify that because the spectrum of cost is so wide. DGS wasn't able to help us either. This isn't a piece of data they collect. For items that are truly waste, that cannot be refurbished, CALPIA currently forms a request for receiving best price for disposable items.

2.1

The future benefit to the private sector is a group of offenders coming out who are trained, who can go right into this business. We've actually got one right now who is looking to set up their own e-waste, recycling and refurbishing based on what they've learned with us. They've been out for awhile and they're working for a private company. That is something to look forward to.

That concludes my overview. As I said, it's very simple, and we've been aware of this for about two years, since we've been working on this. So I will answer any questions.

MS. STEEB: I don't have any questions.

1 I don't have any questions. MR. McGUIRE: I just know from representing General Services we're 3 very excited about the expansion of this program and 4 working in partnership. 5 MR. PATTILLO: Thank you, Mr. McGuire. 6 You've been a lot of help. 7 MS. STEEB: We now move to public comment 8 period and are ready to hear testimony from the public. 10 Do you have any speaker cards? 11 MS. VUONG: We have not received any 12 speaker-request forms. 13 Introduce yourself. MR. PATTILLO: 14 MR. RAMONDETTA: My name is Lou Ramondetta. I'm the president of Surplus Service. We're a 15 collector based in Fremont. We cover predominantly 16 17 Northern California and the Bay Area, but we also do stuff in Southern California. So I have some 18 19 concerns about the program from a 20 private-sector-business perspective that I want to 2.1 voice. 22 Sounds like this is already something that is 23 pretty far down the road. It sounds like GSA 24 supports it. I don't know if my comments are going 25 to make a difference, but I'm still going to make

them.

2.1

From a reentry perspective and territory perspective, I just want to make you aware that I recently was at a Fair Choice Summit. I spoke on behalf of employers trying to support and represent and take advantage of candidates coming out of reentry programs because we think that's something very valuable. We've done it and we see value in it.

I was also interviewed last week on KCBS in support of the program, so I think it's a good program. Our company is certified by CalRecycle. We're a certified green business. We are a women-owned business. We just became a B Corp.

I don't know if you are familiar with B Corps, but it's somewhere in-between a regular corporation and a nonprofit. What it basically allows us to do are things that don't necessarily impact our bottom line. So we can essentially do things that are good for the community. We do a lot of community outreach. We do a lot of meetings with various Legislators. In fact, I sit on the board for the Boy Scouts of America. We support their Sustainability Merit Badge. We do a lot of that kind of stuff. When you're a corporation, the

problem you can run into is if that doesn't have a direct impact, your stockholders can complain. And you are liable for that, because ultimately you have a fiduciary responsibility to them. We, being a B Corp, it allows us to go ahead and do that.

2.1

We're also -- last year we were California's
Business of the Year, Small Business of the Year. I
don't know if you guys are familiar with Acterra.
Acterra is kind of the Sierra Club of our industry.
They had us as a finalist for being the most
sustainable business in the Bay Area. We've been
written up by Stop Waste. We've recently had a team
of people from the SBDC, Small Business Development
Council, come to our facility and actually film our
facility and what we do. That video is currently on
their website. So a lot of outreach stuff that we
do.

From the perspective of this program, I think my concern is that there are hundreds of recyclers and collectors out there right now who can do what you're planning to have done via the PIA; and that's a concern for me because it means that business is leaving the private sector and essentially going into the public sector -- I'm sorry, government sector.

The market for recyclers and for collectors has gotten extremely challenging over the last few years. If you look at the claims - and this is information directly from the CalRecycl website, claims by recyclers; when I say "claims," that's what they're turning in from a recycle perspective -- that's gone down dramatically over the last few years, since 2012, and continues to go down this year. It is down about 25 percent overall per year, which is a lot. That puts a lot of pressure on small businesses like us to be able to compete.

2.1

2.4

From a consolidation perspective, what we're seeing as a small business happening in the industry is that you're ending up getting a handful of large recycling companies and large collectors. I would call them mega-collectors, mega-recycling companies that are essentially doing all the work. And it's basically cut out small businesses like us. And small businesses like us do a lot more community outreach and a lot more programs that benefit the community and the industry as a whole for the long-term. So that's what we're seeing happening in the industry. We are not happy with it.

My concern is that this program would do that same thing. It would tend to have things become

governmentized versus privatized and take that business opportunity away from small businesses like us. Essentially, it sounds like what you're saying you want to do is make this a private enterprise, centralizing it underneath a government entity, which — it's essentially like a monopoly. If we ever did that from a business perspective, we'd be prosecuted under the Sherman Antitrust Act. But for some reason it seems to be okay to do this for the GSA or for PIA.

2.1

There may be some benefits that I'm not understanding for small business, and I'm certainly open to hearing that because we love to take advantage and participate in that. We just want to make sure that it's fair for big business, small business and for the PIA.

We understand the need or the desire for the State of California to make sure that e-waste is being properly handled and properly -- in other words, it's not being shipped overseas. And I understand that is a big concern. I don't know if this is the best way to do it. Because what you're basically saying is -- what CALPIA and CalRecycle are basically saying -- is that the people who they have approved as collectors and/or recyclers

essentially aren't trustworthy enough and can't do the job. So, therefore, we're going to take it and turn it into a government entity and have the government do it all. That is a concern to me.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other concern is when stuff like this gets put out to bid , oftentimes small business isn't really in a position to be able to participate. Every bid I have seen that comes through the state or the county, typically tends to be very cumbersome, very involved, lots of paperwork. as a small business, we don't have people waiting around, admin to fill out all this stuff. We do the stuff ourselves. So what typically ends up happening when these programs go out to bid is that, I don't want to say big business, but bigger businesses than we are typically get it, which is why you're seeing a lot of the big recyclers and big collectors become mega-collectors because they're essentially taking a much bigger percentage. is probably a handful of, I think maybe, 10 or 15, companies right now that are probably doing 80 percent of the recycling business in the state. rest of it is being divvied up with small companies like us.

I will say we have gone to a lot of various

matchmaking sessions, is what they're called. We've met with various agencies. We've met or we've gone to State of California small-business events. We tried, probably for five years, to see if we can get business from the state. My understanding was everything for the state went from the agencies to GSA, and then GSA essentially put that stuff out to bid. Basically the same pricing that we would end up selling it for.

2.1

So up until now, we've never had one agency call us back. We've never been able to do any business whatsoever with the state. That to me seems a little unfair because you should be trying to encourage and take advantage of small businesses. Generally, small businesses are the biggest growth sector, and, generally, small businesses are the ones who are hiring people.

I will say this. We recently traveled with the PIA, and we went to a few facilities. We went to San Quentin. We went to Tracy. We went to Stockton because we would very much like to put a program in place where we're doing this. We thought about doing this two years ago. Unfortunately, all the places that we went to didn't seem to have space, so so far we have not been able to do that.

1 But we would definitely like to do that. It's just 2 that they didn't have the space to accommodate us. 3 That's my comments. 4 MR. PATTILLO: I wasn't clear on my testimony. You've never taken any state assets, 5 6 have you, as a recycler? 7 MR. RAMONDETTA: No. My understanding was 8 that it all went to GSA and then it went out to the auction. And we've talked to a lot of agencies. 9 10 MR. PATTILLO: The only thing we're taking 11 in is state assets. So when you talk about 12 competing with the private sector, we're not 13 competing because you are not even in it yet. We're 14 not taking nonstate assets from anywhere. 15 wouldn't be impacting private business that way. All the stuff that's been going through DGS is just 16 17 going to be going through us now. And then we'll be 18 recycling out like that. 19 We do want to talk to you more about 20 refurbishing and whatnot, and I'm glad you're here. 2.1 I just want to be clear that we are not looking to 22 compete with private companies because we are only 23 dealing with our own state assets, that are 24 state-owned assets. We've been asked to manage our

internal assets. We've been doing it for two years.

25

MR. RAMONDETTA: My understanding is that even though we haven't been able to get any business with the State of California, that there are other private businesses doing significant volume with the State of California that may be impacted by this. I don't know the answer to that. I think you mentioned it in your testimony.

2.1

MR. PATTILLO: There are. Those same people who were buying directly from DGS, they're now contracting with us to buy our output. That's how it's occurred.

MR. RAMONDETTA: I know for us we don't really consider ourselves to be a recycler or collector. The reason we've got the designation by the State of California for being the Business of the Year, Acterra for being the most sustainable business, is about 85 percent of what comes into our facility gets repaired and refurbished. My parents were parents of the Depression. They saved everything. And what we try to do is hopefully avoid recycling wherever possible. And as I said, about 85 percent of that gets refurbished, repaired and reused. And we'd love to do that to your --

another Joint Venture candidate for us also, so

we'll talk more about that. That's all I want to be 1 clear on, that we weren't out there competing on 3 private assets. 4 MS. STEEB: Thank you for your testimony. 5 Are there any other comments from members of 6 the public? 7 Okav. Let us note for the record that we did 8 receive public testimony. 9 That concludes our public hearing today. On 10 behalf of the Prison Industry Board, I want to thank 11 you all for attending and presenting on your 12 questions and concerns. 13 The testimony received today will be 14 considered by the full Prison Industry Board at its 15 next meeting, June 29th at 10:00 a.m. That meeting 16 will be held here in this location, the CALPIA 17 Conference Center and Showroom. Again, we welcome 18 you to attend the meeting as the Board hears that 19 action item. 20 Thank you. This meeting is adjourned as of 2.1 1:30 on the dot. 22 (Hearing concluded at 1:30 p.m.) 23 ---000---24 25

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) ) ss.
5	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO )
6	
7	
8	I, ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ, certify that I was the
9	official Court Reporter for the proceedings named
10	herein, and that as such reporter, I reported in
11	shorthand writing those proceedings;
12	That I thereafter caused my shorthand writing
13	to be reduced to printed format, and the pages
14	numbered 3 through 26 herein constitute a complete,
15	true and correct record of the proceedings.
16	
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed this
18	certificate at Sacramento, California, on this 15th
19	day of August, 2017.
20	
21	
22	/s/ Esther E Schwartz
23	/s/ Esther F. Schwartz CSR NO. 1564
24	
25	